# **GRB** Spectra and their Evolution:

- prompt GRB spectra in the  $\gamma$ -regime

TUM GRB Seminar Andreas von Kienlin MPE -Gamma

14. November 2003

1

# Outline

- Time averaged GRB spectra
  - Example spectra
  - Instrumental response
  - Band function
  - Spectral parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $E_{peak}$
  - > Prompt  $\gamma$ -ray emission from GRBs
  - Comparison of the SSM with spectral parameters
- Temporal evolution of the spectral parameters
  - Hardness-intensitiv correlation
  - Hard-to-soft spectral evolution
- GRB spectra at very high energies
- XRF's

# **GRB** pulse profile variety

 already presented by R. Diehl



# **GRB** spectra

In contrast to the lightcurves of GRBs

➡ shape of GRB spectra is quite simple



#### Common GRB spectrum of all CGRO instruments

Upper plot:

> Photon number flux  $N_E$ :

 $vF_v - Plots:$ 

- > Energy flux  $E^2 \times N_E = vFv$
- allows easy comparison of source luminosities in different wavelength bands.
- GRB luminosity maximal at E<sub>P</sub>



#### Common GRB spectrum of all CGRO instruments



### **Instrument Response:**



Andreas von Kienlin (MPE)

7

#### **Band** - function

- Spectral analysis by D. Band (D. Band et al. 1993, ApJ 413, 281)
  - time-averaged GRB-spectra of BATSE spectroscopy detectors
  - Spectra are well described by:
    - at low energies by a powerlaw with an exponential cutoff

 $N_E(E) \propto E^{\alpha} \exp(-E/E_0)$ 

• at high energies by a steeper powerlaw  $N_{E}(E) \propto E^{\beta}$  with  $\alpha > \beta$ 



"Band" – Function (empirical fit)

$$f(E) = \begin{cases} A(E/100)^{\alpha} e^{-E(2+\alpha)/E_{\text{peak}}} & \text{E}_{\text{peak}} = \text{E}_{0} (2+\alpha) \\ \text{if } E < \frac{(\alpha - \beta)E_{\text{peak}}}{(2+\alpha)} \equiv E_{\text{break}} , \\ A\left[\frac{(\alpha - \beta)E_{\text{peak}}}{100(2+\alpha)}\right]^{(\alpha - \beta)} \exp(\beta - \alpha)(E/100)^{\beta} \\ \text{if } E \ge \frac{(\alpha - \beta)E_{\text{peak}}}{(2+\alpha)} . \end{cases}$$

# **BATSE** spectra

- Example of spectral fit:
  - Band function was fitted to the average spectrum of GRB 911127



#### Common GRB spectrum of all CGRO instruments



# **BATSE** spectra

- The spectral parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and E<sub>0</sub> vary from burst to burst
- Distribution of these parameters obtained from 5500 spectra of 156 bursts (Preece et al. 2000)
- Spectral models used:
  - "GRB Model": Bands GRB function
  - > "COMP model": in case the GRB model break energy lies above the detector energy range
  - > "BPL Model": in cases a sharp curvature of the spectrum results in a bad  $\chi^2$  of GRB model



#### Low energy power-law index

- Distribution
  - $\blacktriangleright$  peaks at  $\alpha$  = -1
  - > broader than expected statistical error for  $\alpha = -1$
- Constrains the applicability of popular burst emission models
  - "Blast wave model"
  - "synchrotron emission from shocked electrons"
    - expectation from theory for singleparticle synchrotron emission:
       α = -2/3



# **Break Energy**

- Synchrotron shock model (SSM) identifies spectral break with the characteristic synchrotron energy in the emitter's rest frame, boosted into the observer's frame
  - spectral break contain information about the bulk Lorentz motion of the emitter
- Most important signifier for spectral evolution
- Log-normal distribution
  - Peaking at 250 keV
  - FWHM less than a decade in energy



# High energy power law index

- Peak of high energy power law distribution at: 2.35
- For  $\beta \ge 2$  spectra diverges
  - cut-off hat high energies expected
- Blast wave model is quite sensitive to the relationship between the two power-law indices



### Prompt γ-ray emission from GRBs

#### Internal – external shock scenario

- Inner compact source produces a variable relativistic wind
- GRBs are produced by relativistic internal shocks arising from the wind
  - Faster shells catch up with slower ones and collide
- > Afterglow emission is produced by the external shock  $\rightarrow$  see later talks



### Prompt γ-ray emission from GRBs (Preece 2002)

- Optically thin synchrotron shock emission (SSM: synchrotron shock model)
  - 1. Power-law distribution for shocked electrons

 $N(\gamma_e) \sim \gamma_e^{-p}$  for  $\gamma_{\min} < \gamma_e < \gamma_{\lim}$  with spectral index **p** > 2

 $\gamma_{\rm min} \simeq \gamma_E$  bulk Lorentz factor of the shock

- 2. Single particle synchrotron emissivity
  - Asymptotically –2/3 power law at low frequencies
  - Exponentially attenuated at high frequencies
  - Characteristic synchrotron energy in the observer frame

$$E_c = h\nu(\gamma_e)_{\rm obs} = \gamma_e^2 \gamma_E \frac{\hbar q_e B}{m_e c}$$

2. must be integrated over 1. in the comoving frame

► Results in 
$$\nu^{-2/3}$$
 power law below  $h\nu(\gamma_{\min})$   
 $\nu^{-(p+1)/2}$  power law above  $h\nu(\gamma_{\min})$ 

### Prompt γ-ray emission of GRBs

#### • Important quantity: $\gamma_{cool}$

- is the electron energy, where the hydrodynamic cooling timescale just balances the energy dependent synchrotron cooling time
- "slow cooling" spectrum

$$N_{\nu, \,\text{slow}} \sim \begin{cases} \nu^{-2/3} & \nu < \nu_{\min} \ ,\\ \nu^{-(p+1)/2} & \nu_{\min} < \nu < \nu_{\text{cool}} \ ,\\ \nu^{-(p/2)-1} & \nu_{\text{cool}} < \nu \ , \end{cases}$$

During GRB phase, fast cooling of electrons by synchrotron

- Two component electron distribution
- Below  $\gamma_{cool}$ : energy index  $p_{cool} = 2$

"fast cooling" spectrum

$$N_{\nu, \text{fast}} \sim \begin{cases} \nu^{-2/3} & \nu < \nu_{\text{cool}} \ ,\\ \nu^{-3/2} & \nu_{\text{cool}} < \nu < \nu_{\text{min}} \\ \nu^{-(p/2)-1} & \nu_{\text{min}} < \nu \ . \end{cases}$$

#### Comparison of the SSM with the spectral parameter

- Comparison of the SSM with the spectral Parameter
  - Preece, R.D. et al. 2002, ApJ 581, 1248
  - Evidence that basic model for synchrotron emission from internal shocks during GRB phase is inconsistent with the observation
  - Possible solution: due to deceleration each spectrum is composed of a set of seed spectra, shifted in energy
  - Particle acceleration during the prompt emission should not be ignored

fast, low: 
$$\Delta s_{\text{fast}}(\nu_{\text{cool}}) = -\frac{2}{3} + \frac{3}{2} = \frac{5}{6}$$
,  
fast, high:  $\Delta s_{\text{fast}}(\nu_{\min}) = -\frac{3}{2} - \left(-\frac{p}{2} - 1\right) = \frac{p-1}{2}$ ,  
slow, low:  $\Delta s_{\text{slow}}(\nu_{\min}) = -\frac{2}{3} + \frac{p+1}{2} = \frac{p}{2} - \frac{1}{6}$ ,  
slow, high:  $\Delta s_{\text{slow}}(\nu_{\text{cool}}) = -\frac{p+1}{2} - \left(-\frac{p}{2} - 1\right) = \frac{1}{2}$   
both:  $\Delta s_{\text{both}}(\nu_{\text{peak}}) = -\frac{2}{3} - \left(-\frac{p}{2} - 1\right) = \frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{3}$ 



#### Comparison of the

- Comparison of the SSM w ₄₀₀
  - ➢ Preece, R.D. et al. 2002,
  - Evidence that basic mode
     GRB phase is inconsister
  - Possible solution: due to seed spectra, shifted in e
  - Particle acceleration duri

![](_page_18_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### pectral parameter

from internal shocks during

#### n is composed of a set of

#### uld not be ignored

![](_page_18_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_12.jpeg)

#### GRB 990123

- Lightcurves in different energy-bands
  - ➢ BATSE
  - > COMPTEL

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Time evolution of Band function parameters α and E<sub>P</sub>
  - hardness-intensity correlation
  - hard-to-soft evolution

![](_page_19_Figure_9.jpeg)

#### • GRB 990123

- Hard-to-soft
- Hardness-intensity correlation
- Peak energy evolution in bright long BATSE bursts (37):
  - Ford, L.A. et al. 95, ApJ 439, 307

![](_page_20_Figure_6.jpeg)

- Evolution of the low-energy photon spectra in GRBs
  - Crider, A. et al. 97, ApJ 479, L39
  - GRB spectral evolution for GRB 910927
  - High degree of positive correlation exists between the time resolved spectral break energy E<sub>pk</sub> and α.

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

- Temporal behavior of the high-energy power-law portion of GRB spectra of 126
  - Preece, R.D. et al. 98, ApJ 496, 849
  - > Hard-to-soft spectral evolution in  $\beta$  for GRB 911118
  - >  $\beta$  in dependence of E<sub>peak</sub> for GRB 911118  $\Rightarrow$  correlation in time evolution

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

- Evolution of the spectral hardness E<sub>pk</sub> as function of the fluence for 41 pulses in 26 GRBs
  - Crider, A. et al. 99, ApJ 519, 206
  - Trend: E<sub>pk</sub> decays linearly with energy fluence

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

- Evolution of the spectral hardness E<sub>pk</sub> as function of the fluence for 41 pulses in 26 GRBs
  - Crider, A. et al. 99, ApJ 519, 206
  - Trend: E<sub>pk</sub> decays linearly with energy fluence
  - > Decay constant  $\Phi_0$  is log-normal distributed

![](_page_24_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Bursts with high-energy γ-Ray Emission

- In 1994 EGRET observed a  $\gamma$ -ray burst which showed high-energy
- (> 50 MeV)  $\gamma$ -ray emission
  - till 1.5 hours after start of burst
  - highest-observed energy: 18 GeV

![](_page_25_Figure_5.jpeg)

The relation between high- and low-energy emission not yet understood!

### EGRET-spectra in the MeV-GeV-range

- "Observation of the Highest Energy Gamma-Rays from GRBs"
  - Dingus, B.L. 2001, AIP 558, 383
  - Average spectrum measured by EGRET for 4 bursts (45 γ-rays above 30 MeV, 4 above 1 GeV, within BATSE T90 interval), Photon spectral index:  $1.95 \pm 0.25$
  - Consistent with an extension of the electron synchrotron component

![](_page_26_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### **GRB** 941017 measured with EGRET-TASC (Total Absorption Shower Counter)

"A  $\gamma$ -ray burst with a high-energy spectral component inconsistent with the synchrotron shock model"

Spectral fit by

Band-function +

Gonzales, M.M. et al. 2003, Nature 424, 749 

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_5.jpeg)

### **TeV emission from GRBs**

- Evidence of TeV emission from GRB 970417a using data from the Milagrito
  - photons with energies above 650 GeV
  - R. Atkins, R. et al. 03, ApJ 583, 824

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

### X-Ray Flashes and GRBs

- Spectral Characteristics of X-Ray Flashes
  - Kippen, R.M. et al. 02, astro-ph/0203114
  - >  $T_{90}$ : 10 200 sec  $\rightarrow$  similar to GRBs
  - $\succ \alpha$ : ~ -1,  $\beta$ : ~ -2.5  $\rightarrow$  similar to GRBs
  - Main difference: E<sub>peak</sub> in the X-ray range

![](_page_29_Figure_6.jpeg)

**FIGURE 1.** Model-dependent deconvolution of spectral data from WFC (*solid diamonds*) and BATSE (*open circles*) for three X-ray flashes. The best-fit Band GRB function is shown as dashed lines. Also indicated are the change in chi-squared ( $\Delta \chi^2$ ) from a single power law to the Band function and the best-fit values of  $E_{\text{peak}}$  with 1 $\sigma$  errors.

#### X-Ray Flashes observed by HETE-2

- Defining "X-ray flashes" (Heise et al. 2000) as bursts for which log (S<sub>X</sub> / S<sub>γ</sub>) > 0 (i.e., > 30 times that for "normal" GRBs)
  - 1/3 of bursts localized by HETE-2 are XRFs
  - > 1/3 are "X-ray-rich" GRBs

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

### X-Ray Flashes and GRBs

Strong evidence that properties of XRFs and GRBs form a continuum

- Both types of bursts are the same phenomenon
- Lamb, D.Q. et al. 03, astro-ph/0309456

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Extremely Soft X-Ray Flash XRF 020903

- HETE-2 WXM observation
  - Sakamoto, T. et al. 03, astro-ph/0309455
- Redshift determination  $\rightarrow z = 0.251$  (first X-ray flash with optical afterglow)
  - Soderberg, A.M. et al. 03, astro-ph/0311050

![](_page_32_Figure_5.jpeg)